



UNIVERSIDAD
CATÓLICA
BOLIVIANA



Carrera de
Economía



IISEC
Instituto de
Investigaciones
Socio - Económicas

**UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA BOLIVIANA
ECONOMICS DEGREE UCB LA PAZ CAMPUS
INSTITUTO DE INVESTIGACIONES SOCIO-ECONÓMICAS (IISEC)
LATIN AMERICAN JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - LAJED**

DECLARATION OF ETHICS

The editorial committee of the Latin American Journal of Development Economics (LAJED), with the objective of guaranteeing good academic practices, releases its ethical declaration, which establishes the responsible practices of all the people involved in the process of editing the journal.

GENERAL

This document guarantees good practices in the process of editing the journal and informs each actor of their responsibilities in this process.

It aims to ensure and maintain the high-quality standards that the journal has had since its inception in 2003.

Fraudulent or deliberately inaccurate statements are prohibited for all involved, editors, external reviewers and authors, as this is unethical behavior.

FOR THE EDITOR/INTERNAL EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

The editorial committee and the editor must apply objective judgments in the selection criteria of articles, since they are responsible for the decision to pre-select papers, to ensure and maintain the quality standards of the journal.

The editorial committee must maintain confidentiality and make correct use of the data presented in the research by the authors and each of the persons involved in the editing process. It is forbidden to make use of the information provided for particular purposes without the approval of the owner of the same.

The editors, together with the editorial committee, will promptly notify when similarities are detected between an article and previously published works, or when it contains partial or total plagiarism.

The editors will report any conflict of interest detected in any research due to financial, institutional, collaborative, political or creedal relationships.

The editors will guarantee the anonymity of the double-blind review process of both external reviewers and authors, in order to maintain the quality and objectivity of the evaluation of articles.

The editors are the only people who have contact with the authors, so they must give timely response in the different stages of evaluation and their opinions to the authors within the established deadlines, otherwise they must justify the delay.

The editors and the editorial committee, in order to make corrections, should use the journal's evaluation form as a guideline when necessary. They may make clarifications, retractions and apologies if necessary.

The editor must accept possible complaints or misunderstandings that may arise in the review process. He/she will act on the basis of the editorial policy, the ethics statement and the statutes of the institutions to which the journal belongs.

FOR THE EXTERNAL REVIEWER

External reviewers must apply objective and clear judgments, since they are responsible for accepting or rejecting articles.

They are committed to maintain the confidentiality of the content of the articles they are reviewing. The use of information for their own benefit is not allowed.

They should review the document according to their research experience, making the correct use of the evaluation form. Total or partial reproduction of the evaluation form will be penalized.

They will report any attempt of plagiarism or similarity of the document with articles that have already been published in other media.

When a referee does not feel qualified, there is a conflict of interest or cannot meet the established deadlines, he/she will inform the situation in due time to the main editor.

FOR AUTHORS

Authors should clearly indicate authorship of the research and should not omit the name of any person involved in the writing of the paper. The order of authors is a joint decision of the various co-authors.

Authors must ensure that the research is original, that its content has not been previously published, that it does not infringe any copyright or property rights (articles published as working papers or executive summaries do not apply). To this end, they must sign the statement of good practice. Simultaneous submission of your work to different journals is considered unethical conduct.

Bibliographic content must be cited. The sources of tables and/or figures used from other papers must be adequately mentioned in the legend of each one. Inappropriate and non-consensual use of information from other research is considered a bad ethical practice and may lead to rejection of the article.

Scientific fraud is prohibited, i.e., practices such as fabrication or falsification of data or any information that has not been generated under a rigorous process that all scientific research has.

Plagiarism of data, ideas, phrases, conclusions and quotations at all levels is prohibited and is sanctioned with immediate rejection of the article.

Authors should declare in detail the sources of funding or sponsors for the preparation of the document. They should also clarify whether the paper is part of a larger research project.

Authors should not submit research that generates conflicts of interest when published. No publication should have agreements that influence access to it and, if it does, the authors should explicitly state this situation and should request the counterpart's authorization to publish it.

If the author finds any error or omission in the article, it is his/her responsibility to promptly notify the editor before it is published.